

1 DAVID R. EBERHART (S.B. #195474)  
2 deberhart@omm.com  
3 JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN (S.B. #267962)  
jrothstein@omm.com  
3 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center  
4 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3823  
5 Telephone: +1 415 984 8700  
Facsimile: +1 415 984 8701

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
7 ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and  
ELASTICSEARCH B.V.

DURIE TANGRI LLP  
JOSEPH C. GRATZ (SBN 240676)  
jgratz@durietangri.com  
ADITYA V. KAMDAR (SBN 324567)  
akamdar@durietangri.com  
217 Leidesdorff Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415-362-6666  
Facsimile: 415-236-6300

8 Attorneys for Defendants  
9 AMAZON.COM, INC. and  
AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

13 ELASTICSEARCH, INC., a Delaware  
14 corporation, ELASTICSEARCH B.V., a Dutch  
corporation,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.  
17 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware  
18 corporation, and AMAZON WEB SERVICES,  
INC., a Delaware corporation,

19 Defendants.

20 Case No. 5:19-cv-06158-EJD

21 **JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT  
STATEMENT AND RULE 26(F)  
REPORT**

22 Date: January 30, 2020  
23 Time: 10:00 a.m.  
24 Dept: Courtroom 4, 5th Floor  
25 Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila

1 Pursuant to the Court's October 1, 2019 Order (ECF No. 7), the Court's October 10, 2019  
 2 Order (ECF No. 15), Civil Local Rule 16-9, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), and the  
 3 Standing Order for All Judges for the Northern District of California, the parties hereby submit  
 4 this Joint Case Management Statement and Rule 26(f) Report in advance of the Initial Case  
 5 Management Conference scheduled for January 30, 2020, at 10:00 a.m.

6 **I. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE**

7 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121  
 8 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. No issues exist with respect to this Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction  
 9 over Defendants Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon Web Services, Inc. ("Amazon"). Venue in the  
 10 Northern District of California is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Amazon has been properly  
 11 served. No party remains to be served.

12 **II. FACTS**

13 ***Plaintiffs' Statement***

14 Plaintiffs Elasticsearch, Inc. and elasticsearch B.V. (collectively "Elastic") bring this suit  
 15 to remedy Amazon's infringement of Elastic's incontestable ELASTICSEARCH mark and  
 16 Amazon's false advertising.

17 Elastic owns and markets the Elastic Stack suite of software products, centered on the  
 18 ELASTICSEARCH search and analytics engine. Over the years, Elastic has built  
 19 ELASTICSEARCH into the core of a product line that leading companies like Ebay, Adobe,  
 20 Walmart, Optum, Cisco Systems, and Facebook use and depend on. As Amazon's own marketing  
 21 states, "Elasticsearch has become an essential technology for log analytics and search . . . ."<sup>1</sup>

22 Amazon markets two offerings that infringe the ELASTICSEARCH mark: Amazon  
 23 Elasticsearch Service ("AESS") and Open Distro for Elasticsearch ("Open Distro"). Amazon's  
 24 misleading use of the ELASTICSEARCH mark is likely to confuse consumers of search and  
 25 analytics software as to whether Elastic sponsors or approves AESS and Open Distro. For  
 26 example, Amazon advertises that AESS makes it "easy" for consumers to "deploy, secure, and

27  
 28 <sup>1</sup> <https://opendistro.github.io/for-elasticsearch/>

1 operate Elasticsearch at scale with zero down time.” And Amazon advertises Open Distro as “a  
 2 value-added distribution of Elasticsearch.”

3 Amazon’s branding for AEES and Open Distro makes no effort to communicate to  
 4 consumers that Elastic does not sponsor or approve AEES or Open Distro. For example, on  
 5 Amazon’s webpage for Open Distro, the word “Amazon” only appears once on the page, in the  
 6 minuscule copyright notice at the very bottom of the page. Nor does the Open Distro site use the  
 7 color scheme typically observed on Amazon’s web pages.

8 Amazon’s misleading branding is further likely to confuse consumers as to an affiliation  
 9 of Elastic with Amazon. Amazon’s Vice President and Chief Technology Officer engendered  
 10 such confusion by tweeting that AEES represents “a great partnership between @elastic and  
 11 #AWS.” No such partnership exists or has ever existed. Nor does Amazon’s marketing of Open  
 12 Distro and AEES communicate to consumers that Elastic owns the ELASTICSEARCH mark.

13 Amazon’s misleading use of ELASTICSEARCH also misrepresents the nature,  
 14 characteristics, and qualities of AEES. AEES does not offer consumers all of the functionality that  
 15 Elastic’s ELASTICSEARCH-branded product offers. Amazon advertises that AEES offers  
 16 consumers “direct access to the Elasticsearch APIs,” but AEES blocks certain APIs that Elastic  
 17 includes in its ELASTICSEARCH-branded product. Further, the software available through  
 18 AEES and Open Distro includes additional code from parties other than Elastic that is not  
 19 included in any ELASTICSEARCH-branded product offered by Elastic. In other words, both the  
 20 service (AEES) and the product (Open Distro) at issue use an adulterated version of the  
 21 ELASTICSEARCH search and analytics engine.

22 Amazon claims that the Apache License, Version 2.0—an open source license created by  
 23 the Apache Software Foundation—authorizes Amazon’s use of ELASTICSEARCH. Amazon is  
 24 mistaken. First, that license expressly states that it does not convey rights in any trademark: “This  
 25 License does not grant permission to use the trade names, trademarks, service marks, or product  
 26 names of the Licensor, except as required for reasonable and customary use in describing the  
 27 origin of the Work and reproducing the content of the NOTICE file.” (Apache License v. 2 § 6,  
 28

1 https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0). Second, and consistent with the fact that the  
 2 license does not convey trademark rights, the creator of that license expressly precludes third  
 3 parties from doing precisely what Amazon has done: “it is not permitted to name a product either  
 4 ‘BigCo *Project* Thing,’ ‘BigCo distribution of *Project*,’ or any similar kind of name where  
 5 *Project* is any Apache mark or project name.” (See  
 6 <https://www.apache.org/foundation/marks/faq/#products.>)

7 ***Defendants’ Statement***

8 Amazon Web Services (“AWS”) offers a variety of services that help technology  
 9 companies efficiently grow and scale their businesses. For example, in 2006, AWS began  
 10 offering the Elastic Compute Cloud, a means of efficiently renting servers connected to the  
 11 Internet. In March of 2008, AWS began offering Elastic IPs—IP addresses that could be used in  
 12 a flexible way. In August of 2008, AWS began offering Elastic Block Store, a networked storage  
 13 service. In April of 2009, AWS began offering Elastic MapReduce, a service for processing large  
 14 data sets efficiently. In May of 2009, AWS began offering Elastic Load Balancing, a service for  
 15 distributing requests efficiently among several servers.

16 In 2010, after Amazon had already begun to offer Elastic Computer Cloud, Elastic IPs,  
 17 Elastic Block Store, Elastic MapReduce, and Elastic Load Balancing, a programmer named Shay  
 18 Banon released an open-source analytics and search engine software package that he decided to  
 19 call Elasticsearch. Mr. Banon is the founder of Elastic. Elasticsearch is based on the open-source  
 20 Apache Lucene search engine software, which is distributed by the Apache Software Foundation.  
 21 Banon chose to release the Elasticsearch software under the open-source Apache 2.0 license, in  
 22 order to gain the benefit of improvements to the software contributed by the open-source  
 23 community, and in accordance with Elastic’s “wish for Elasticsearch to be used and distributed as  
 24 widely as possible.” That license allows anyone to copy, modify, and offer their own version of  
 25 Elasticsearch, including for commercial use. The Apache 2.0 license under which Elastic chose  
 26 to distribute Elasticsearch expressly provides that downstream recipients like Amazon may make  
 27 “reasonable and customary use” of “the trade names, trademarks, service marks, or product  
 28

names of" Elastic "in describing the origin of" the software.

In October of 2015, Amazon did just that, launching a service called Amazon Elasticsearch Service (“AESS”). AESSION allows users to use Elasticsearch as a service, running on Amazon’s servers. In March 2019, Amazon launched Open Distro for Elasticsearch (“Open Distro”), an open-source distribution of Elasticsearch with added features.

In both cases, Amazon uses the term Elasticsearch to accurately describe the origin of the Elasticsearch software that AECC runs and that Open Distro is based on. AECC is, in fact, running open-source Elasticsearch code. And Open Distro, in fact, contains open-source Elasticsearch code. Both names are, therefore, entirely descriptive of the underlying products and services. Stated differently, Elasticsearch is, in fact, what the software is called, which is why the Amazon service that runs Elasticsearch is called Amazon Elasticsearch Service.

On September 27, 2019, nearly four years after Amazon publicly launched AE5S, Elastic filed suit. Its Complaint (ECF No. 1) alleges two causes of action: (1) trademark infringement under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114-1117, 1125, and (2) false description or false advertising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125. Amazon filed its Answer on November 20, 2019 (ECF No. 18), denying that Elastic is entitled to any of the relief it has requested.

### III. LEGAL ISSUES

To date, the parties have identified the following legal issues:

- Whether Amazon's use of the ELASTICSEARCH mark constitutes a false designation of origin and/or a false or misleading description or representation of fact that is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive as to (a) the affiliation, connection, and/or association of Amazon with Elastic and/or (b) the origin, sponsorship, and/or approval of Amazon's goods, services, or commercial activities by Elastic, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114-1117 and 1125.
- Whether Amazon's use of the ELASTICSEARCH mark in connection with AESSION constitutes a false advertisement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125, because such advertising misrepresents the nature, characteristics and/or qualities of AESSION

1 and/or deceives and/or has a tendency to deceive a substantial segment of  
 2 consumers into believing that AESS has the nature, characteristics, and/or qualities  
 3 of an ELASTICSEARCH-branded product offered by Elastic.

- 4 • Whether Elastic is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116  
 5 restraining and enjoining Amazon and its agents, servants, employees, and all  
 6 persons acting thereunder, in concert with, or on their behalf, from using in  
 7 commerce the ELASTICSEARCH mark or any colorable imitation thereof.
- 8 • Whether, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, Elastic is entitled to recover (i) Amazon's  
 9 profits, increased to adequately compensate Elastic, (ii) up to treble Elastic's  
 10 ascertainable damages, (iii) Elastic's costs of suit, and (iv) prejudgment interest.
- 11 • Whether Elastic is entitled to reasonable attorney fees and to all amounts by which  
 12 Amazon has been unjustly enriched through its use of Elastic's  
 13 ELASTICSEARCH mark.
- 14 • Whether Amazon is entitled to reasonable attorney fees.

#### 15 **IV. MOTIONS**

16 There are no motions currently pending before the Court. The parties may file motions for  
 17 summary judgment.

#### 18 **V. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS**

19 The parties do not currently anticipate amending their pleadings. The parties propose  
 20 February 28, 2020 as the deadline for amendment of pleadings.

#### 21 **VI. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION**

22 Counsel certify that they have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of  
 23 Electronically Stored Information and confirm that on January 9, 2020, the parties met and  
 24 conferred pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) regarding reasonable and  
 25 proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to this action.

26 The parties understand their duty to preserve records, including paper and electronic  
 27 copies, and have taken steps to preserve all documents potentially relevant to this action in their  
 28

1 possession, custody, or control, without regard to where such documents may be located.

2 **VII. DISCLOSURES**

3 The parties met and conferred regarding initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of  
 4 Civil Procedure 26(a) on January 9, 2020. The parties anticipate complying with the disclosure  
 5 requirements under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) by January 23, 2020.

6 **VIII. DISCOVERY AND RULE 26(F) DISCOVERY PLAN**

7 **Discovery Taken to Date**

8 Plaintiff Elastic served its First Requests for Production of Documents on Defendant  
 9 Amazon on January 10, 2020. No other discovery has been taken to date.

10 **Anticipated Scope and Subjects of Discovery**

11 ***Plaintiffs' Statement***

12 Elastic anticipates seeking discovery into: Amazon's considerations and decisions related  
 13 to the naming and branding for AESSION, Open Distro, and other Amazon offerings based on third  
 14 party open source software; perceptions of the origin, source, and sponsorship of Open Distro and  
 15 AESSION; perceived affiliation, connection, or association of Amazon with Elastic; perceptions and  
 16 reality regarding the functionality of AESSION in comparison with Elastic products/services; the  
 17 development of AESSION and Open Distro; Amazon's marketing of AESSION and Open Distro;  
 18 competition between AESSION and Elastic offerings; Amazon's revenues, profits, and costs related  
 19 to AESSION and Open Distro; the distribution, sale, and license of AESSION and Open Distro; Amazon's  
 20 policies and actions to protect its trademarks; topics related to Amazon's affirmative defenses;  
 21 Amazon's response to Elastic's demand letter in this matter; and Amazon's document retention  
 22 policies.

23 ***Defendants' Statement***

24 Amazon anticipates seeking evidence from Elastic regarding, among other topics: the  
 25 origin of the name ELASTICSEARCH; Elastic's nearly four years of knowledge of and  
 26 acquiescence in Amazon's use of the term Amazon Elasticsearch Service; all agreements  
 27 regarding ELASTICSEARCH; Elastic's trademark policies; marketing, advertising, accounting,

1 sales, and any monetary value of ELASTICSEARCH; the level of consumer recognition of  
 2 ELASTICSEARCH; any consumer confusion regarding ELASTICSEARCH; each Elastic  
 3 product that uses the ELASTICSEARCH mark; Elastic's revenues, profits, and costs; Elastic's  
 4 use of software licenses, including open source licenses; Elastic's use of Amazon's marks;  
 5 communications between Elastic and Amazon; and Elastic's communications regarding Amazon.

6 **Electronically Stored Information ("ESI")**

7 The parties have met and conferred regarding preservation and disclosure of ESI. The  
 8 parties do not currently anticipate any issues related to ESI. The parties intend to submit a  
 9 Proposed Stipulated Order Regarding Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for the  
 10 Court's approval.

11 **Claims of Privilege/Privilege Issues**

12 The parties intend to submit a Proposed Stipulated Protective Order for the Court's  
 13 approval. The parties agree that Federal Rule of Evidence 502 shall govern inadvertent  
 14 production of privileged information.

15 **Changes to the Limitations on Discovery Imposed by the Federal Rules**

16 The parties do not currently propose any changes to the limitations on discovery imposed  
 17 by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Northern District of California Civil Local Rules.

18 **IX. CLASS ACTIONS**

19 This is not a class action.

20 **X. RELATED CASES**

21 There are no related cases currently pending before this Court or any other court or  
 22 administrative body.

23 **XI. RELIEF**

24 ***Plaintiffs' Statement***

25 Elastic seeks the following relief:

26

27

28

1       1.     A permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Amazon and its agents, servants,  
 2 employees, and all persons acting thereunder, in concert with, or on their behalf, from using in  
 3 commerce the ELASTICSEARCH mark in any way that would mislead or confuse consumers;

4       2.     Up to treble Elastic's ascertainable damages, Elastic's costs, and Elastic's  
 5 attorneys' fees;

6       3.     Amazon's profits attributable to Amazon's unauthorized use of Elastic's  
 7 ELASTICSEARCH mark, increased to adequately compensate Elastic;

8       4.     All amounts by which Amazon has been unjustly enriched through its use of  
 9 Elastic's ELASTICSEARCH mark;

10      5.     Prejudgment interest; and

11      6.     Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

12     Elastic anticipates being able to calculate the amount of damages it seeks after taking discovery  
 13 from Amazon.

14      ***Defendants' Statement***

15     Amazon denies that Elastic is entitled to any relief and reserves the right to respond to any  
 16 damages calculation Elastic may present. Amazon does not currently seek any relief by way of  
 17 counterclaim. Amazon may seek attorneys' fees on the ground that this is an exceptional case.

18      **XII. SETTLEMENT AND ADR**

19     The parties have met and conferred regarding their obligations pursuant to Civil Local  
 20 Rule 16-8 and ADR Local Rule 3-5. The parties agree that private mediation is appropriate in this  
 21 case and propose September 30, 2020 as a deadline for completing private mediation. The parties  
 22 anticipate that completion of expert discovery regarding liability and damages issues will enable  
 23 more fruitful settlement discussions.

24     On January 9 and 20, 2020, respectively, Elastic and Amazon filed their ADR  
 25 Certifications (ECF Nos. 20, 23). The parties will submit an ADR stipulation that reflects their  
 26 agreement regarding private mediation and the September 30, 2020 deadline.

27     On January 9, 2020, the parties discussed the possibility of early resolution of this dispute.

1 Those discussions are ongoing.

2 **XIII. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES**

3 Elastic does not consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction for all further proceedings.

4 **XIV. OTHER REFERENCES**

5 The parties do not believe at this time that this case should be referred to binding  
6 arbitration or a special master. This case is not suitable for reference to the Judicial Panel on  
7 Multidistrict Litigation.

8 **XV. NARROWING OF ISSUES**

9 The parties are not at this time aware of any issues that can be narrowed by agreement.

10 **XVI. EXPEDITED TRIAL PROCEDURE/SCHEDULE**

11 The parties do not believe that an expedited schedule is appropriate for this case.

12 **XVII. SCHEDULING**

13 The parties make the following scheduling proposal:

Event	Date
Trial Setting Conference	July 9, 2020
Non-expert discovery cut-off	August 6, 2020
Opening expert reports due	August 20, 2020
Opposition expert reports due	September 3, 2020
Reply expert reports due	September 10, 2020
Cut-off for expert discovery	September 24, 2020
Last date to file dispositive motions	October 15, 2020
Final pretrial conference	February 4, 2021
Jury Trial	February 16, 2021

20 **XVIII. TRIAL**

21 Elastic and Amazon both have demanded a jury trial. The parties at this time anticipate a  
22 trial of this matter will last no more than six trial days.

23 **XIX. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS**

24 *Plaintiffs' Statement*

25 Elastic filed its Certification of Interested Entities or Persons on September 27, 2019 (ECF  
26 No. 4). Pursuant to the Standing Order for all Judges of the Northern District of California,  
27 Elastic restates the contents of its disclosure:

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-15, Elastic certifies that the following listed persons, associations  
2 of persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent corporations) or other entities (i)  
3 have a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or (ii)  
4 have a non-financial interest in that subject matter or in a party that could be substantially  
5 affected by the outcome of this proceeding: Elastic N.V., the parent corporation of Plaintiffs.

6 ***Defendants' Statement***

7 Amazon filed its Certification of Interested Entities or Persons on November 20, 2019  
8 (ECF No. 19) pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-15. Pursuant to the Standing Order for all Judges of the  
9 Northern District of California, Amazon restates the contents of its disclosure:

10 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1(a), Defendant Amazon.com, Inc., by and  
11 through its undersigned attorneys, certifies that it has no parent corporation and knows of no  
12 publicly held corporation that owns ten percent or more of its stock.

13 Defendant Amazon Web Services, Inc. is wholly owned by Defendant Amazon, Inc.

14 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-15, Amazon's counsel certifies that as of this date, other than the  
15 named parties, there is no such interest to report.

16 **XX. GUIDELINES FOR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT**

17 All attorneys of record have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduct for the  
18 Northern District of California and agree to abide by these guidelines.

19 **XXI. OTHER MATTERS**

20 The parties have no other matters to bring to the Court at this time.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2 Dated: January 21, 2020

3 DAVID R. EBERHART  
4 JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN  
5 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

6  
7 By: /s/ David R. Eberhart  
8 David R. Eberhart

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
11 ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and  
12 ELASTICSEARCH B.V.

13  
14 Dated: January 21, 2020

15 JOSEPH C. GRATZ  
16 DURIE TANGRI LLP

17  
18 By: /s/ Joseph C. Gratz  
19 Joseph C. Gratz

20  
21 Attorneys for Defendants  
22 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON WEB  
23 SERVICES, INC.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 **ATTESTATION**

29 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the  
30 filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

31  
32 Dated: January 21, 2020

33 /s/ David R. Eberhart  
34 David R. Eberhart